This paper provides a suitable overview of the papers arising from the bioaccumulation workshop. The MS was easy to read and in a logical structure.

My comments are very minor.

P2 L5 ‘lab’ should read ‘laboratory’

P5 L6 “substances that meet these criteria” – while I know that this is correct my initial interpretation was that meeting the criteria was a good thing. I wonder if ‘substance that exceeds these criteria’ is slightly more negative and better conveys the situation?

P6 L7 I wonder if you could insert a short phrase to describe the ‘B’ criteria – what does ‘B’ stand for. As a reader I’m expected to take this at face value. If I knew what the ‘B’ stood for I would be more willing to accept it and it would help me to understand what it represents.

P7 L2 I think ‘lab’ (rather than ‘laboratory’) is ok in this context.

P9 L12 change ‘contributors’ to ‘contributor’

P10 L17 Should this be ‘Although’ as in the second point?